Multivariate connectivity methods in the MNE-Python toolbox

Thomas S. Binns^{1,2,3}, Mohammad Orabe^{3,4}, Tien D. Nguyen^{3,4}, Richard M. Köhler¹, Franziska Pellegrini^{3,4}, Stefan Haufe^{2,3,4}

1. Movement Disorders Unit, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany 2. Einstein Center for Neurosciences Berlin, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany 3. Bernstein Center for Computational Neuroscience Berlin, Germany 4. Berlin Center for Advanced Neuroimaging, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

SUMMARY

- Connectivity analyses in multichannel recordings with bivariate methods produce high-dimensional, difficult to interpret results.
- Averaging connections to reduce the dimensionality lowers SNR if signals sit outside oscillatory sources.
- contrast, multivariate methods produce interpretable, low-• In dimensional results with high SNR.
- MNE-Python is a popular signal processing toolbox for Python [1].



- MNE-Connectivity builds on the MNE-Python API to offer connectivity estimation tools.
- We have implemented advanced, multivariate connectivity estimation methods in MNE-Connectivity (available as of v0.6).

UNDIRECTED CONNECTIVITY: Coherency-based methods

- Correlation in the frequency domain.
- **Bivariate:** coherency; coherence; imaginary part of coherency [2].
- Multivariate: canonical coherency [3]; maximised imaginary part of coherency [4]; multivariate interaction measure [4].
 - eigendecomposition-based spatial filters to optimise • Uses connectivity; provides corresponding spatial maps of connectivity [5].

DIRECTED CONNECTIVITY: Granger causality

- Degree to which one signal predicts another [6].
- **Bivariate:** Granger causality [7].
- **Multivariate:** State-space Granger causality [8].
 - Uses state-space transformation of autoregressive model; compatible

mne.tools/mne-connectivity/ Support for: Maximised imaginary part of coherency Multivariate interaction measure State-space Granger causality Canonical coherency



with time-reversal-correction for spurious connectivity artefacts [9].

MEG + EEG ANALYSIS & VISUALIZATION

References: [1] Gramfort et al. (2013). Frontiers in Neuroscience. DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00267; [2] Nolte et al. (2004). Clinical Neurophysiology. DOI: 10.1016/j.clinph.2004.04.029; [3] Vidaurre et al. (2019). NeuroImage. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116009; [4] Ewald et al. (2012). NeuroImage. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.084; [5] Haufe et al. (2014). NeuroImage. 2013.10.067; [6] Granger (1969). Econometrica. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.10.067; [6] Granger (1969). Econometrica. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.10.076; [6] Granger (1969). Econometrica. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2 10.2307/1912791; [7] Geweke (1982). Journal of the American Statistical Association. DOI: 10.2307/2287238; [8] Barnett & Seth (2015). Physical Review E. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.91.040101; [9] Winkler et al. (2016). IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing. DOI: 10.1109/TSP.2016.2531628.

METHODS IN ACTION: Analysis of cortex – basal ganglia connectivity in Parkinson's disease patients

- Electrocorticography (ECoG) strips targeting motor cortex and deep brain stimulation (DBS) leads targeting subthalamic nucleus (STN) implanted in Parkinson's disease patients.
- Resting-state recordings of cortex and STN activity taken: in absence of therapy (OFF therapy); with dopaminergic medication (ON levodopa); and with STN DBS (ON STN-DBS).
- Analysis of undirected connectivity (maximised imaginary part of coherency) and directed connectivity (time-reversed, state-space Granger causality) between cortex and STN.





Dopamine	
(n = 18)	

Results in more detail:

Spatial maps of connectivity



EINSTEIN CENTER Neurosciences

CONTACT

X:

thomas-samuel.binns@charite.de haufe@tu-berlin.de

tHub:	/tsbinn
nkedIn:	/tsbinn
	@tsbinr



Thomas S. Binns